


PAS Q2 14/15



Newham is the lowest performing borough. Only half of the residents here think that the police do a 'good job'.

Lewisham has seen the biggest improvement, scoring a 7 percentage point increase between Q1 14-15 and Q2 14-15

Richmond is the highest performing borough. 84% of the residents here think that the police do a 'good job'.

Source: MPS Public Attitude Survey, R12 Quarter 2 2014/15


MOPAC

MAYOR OF LONDON  
OFFICE FOR POLICING AND CRIME

Evidence & Insight

PAS Q2 13/14

PUBLIC CONFIDENCE – PAS BOCU Performance



## Appendix 2

2012/13

<b>Borough</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Mar</b>	<b>Apr</b>	<b>May</b>	<b>Jun</b>	<b>Jul</b>	<b>Aug</b>	<b>Sep</b>	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Total</b>
Barking & Dagenham	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	<b>4</b>
Barnet	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	<b>7</b>
Bexley	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	<b>7</b>
Brent	1	1	0	1	1	1	6	5	1	1	2	4	<b>24</b>
Bromley	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	1	1	<b>13</b>
Camden	1	2	0	0	0	1	4	6	1	3	1	5	<b>24</b>
Croydon	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	<b>10</b>
Ealing	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	8	2	7	1	3	<b>27</b>
Enfield	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	6	<b>13</b>
Greenwich	1	0	2	0	0	0	6	4	4	0	1	2	<b>20</b>
Hackney	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	2	3	1	2	<b>14</b>
Hammersmith & Fulham	3	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	0	2	<b>22</b>
Haringey	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	3	1	<b>12</b>
Harrow	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	3	4	1	<b>19</b>
Havering	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	<b>8</b>
Heathrow	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	<b>8</b>
Hillingdon	0	2	0	0	3	1	6	8	5	0	1	1	<b>27</b>
Hounslow	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	2	<b>16</b>
Islington	0	0	5	0	0	1	3	4	3	3	2	1	<b>22</b>
Kensington & Chelsea	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	<b>6</b>
Kingston-upon-Thames	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	<b>6</b>
Lambeth	0	1	0	3	2	2	3	3	8	3	3	1	<b>29</b>
Lewisham	0	3	1	0	2	0	6	3	1	0	0	0	<b>16</b>
Merton	3	1	0	1	1	0	5	1	0	2	0	0	<b>14</b>
Newham	2	2	0	2	1	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	<b>17</b>
Redbridge	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	2	0	0	2	<b>13</b>
Richmond-upon-Thames	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>
Southwark	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	1	3	1	1	<b>20</b>
Sutton	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	1	1	1	<b>10</b>
Tower Hamlets	0	1	2	3	0	7	11	10	6	2	1	3	<b>46</b>
Waltham Forest	1	0	2	1	1	0	6	3	2	3	0	1	<b>20</b>
Wandsworth	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	3	2	1	1	<b>15</b>
Westminster	3	0	1	0	4	0	4	4	3	2	2	0	<b>23</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>535</b>

## Appendix 2

2013/14

<b>Borough</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Mar</b>	<b>Apr</b>	<b>May</b>	<b>Jun</b>	<b>Jul</b>	<b>Aug</b>	<b>Sep</b>	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% change 2013 to 2014</b>
Barking & Dagenham	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	16	300.0%
Barnet	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	1	16	128.6%
Bexley	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	-42.9%
Brent	2	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	4	2	1	2	22	-8.3%
Bromley	0	1	0	2	1	4	2	1	0	1	2	6	20	53.8%
Camden	2	1	4	0	3	1	4	4	6	3	5	6	39	62.5%
Croydon	2	0	1	3	0	0	4	0	1	2	2	4	19	90.0%
Ealing	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	2	2	4	3	1	18	-33.3%
Enfield	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	8	-38.5%
Greenwich	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	3	4	0	15	-25.0%
Hackney	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	4	2	2	18	28.6%
Hammersmith & Fulham	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	2	4	1	0	14	-36.4%
Haringey	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	2	3	3	3	18	50.0%
Harrow	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	0	2	0	16	-15.8%
Havering	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	1	10	25.0%
Heathrow	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0.0%
Hillingdon	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	9	-66.7%
Hounslow	3	0	1	0	3	0	3	5	3	1	6	2	27	68.8%
Islington	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	1	7	3	21	-4.5%
Kensington & Chelsea	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	3	1	15	150.0%
Kingston-upon-Thames	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	-16.7%
Lambeth	0	3	2	0	1	0	1	0	3	5	5	1	21	-27.6%
Lewisham	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	1	0	3	1	14	-12.5%
Merton	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	9	3	2	20	42.9%
Newham	1	0	0	1	5	4	3	2	2	1	2	2	23	35.3%
Redbridge	1	2	0	1	0	3	3	3	4	1	2	2	22	69.2%
Richmond-upon-Thames	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	-33.3%
Southwark	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	6	0	2	16	-20.0%
Sutton	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	6	-40.0%
Tower Hamlets	3	1	1	1	4	5	7	5	6	4	6	6	49	6.5%
Waltham Forest	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	2	3	3	14	-30.0%
Wandsworth	0	2	1	0	4	1	1	3	0	0	1	1	14	-6.7%
Westminster	1	5	1	2	4	3	2	2	7	0	3	8	38	65.2%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

**Caroline Pidgeon, MBE**

The London Assembly  
City Hall  
The Queen's Walk  
More London  
London SE1 2AA

15 December 2015

MOPAC08122015-22351

Dear Caroline,

**MQ Follow-up: Information Sharing to Tackle Violence - Anonymised Emergency Department Data Sharing in London**

I am writing to follow up on the Mayor's commitment to provide you with an update on progress relating to Information Sharing to Tackle Violence (ISTV)/Anonymised Emergency Department (ED) Data Sharing in London.

I am pleased to report that there has been positive progress across London since we last corresponded on this matter, and we now have 17 EDs actively sharing data through SafeStats and/or directly with community safety partnerships (CSPs) to ensure we obtain a pan-London view of violence. This is a significant improvement on the position since the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime started to lead on this activity, at which point the national reported baseline indicated just 4 EDs sharing to the ISB 1594 standard.

A list of the relevant hospitals is provided below:

1. Charing Cross
2. St. Mary's
3. Whittington
4. Whipps Cross
5. Newham
6. Northwick Park
7. Ealing
8. West Middlesex
9. Royal Free
10. North Middlesex
11. Homerton
12. Royal London
13. Kings College
14. St. Georges
15. King Georges, Ilford
16. Queens, Romford
17. St Thomas'

Through a successful application to the Home Office Police Innovation Fund MOPAC has also developed a programme of activity to specifically meet the needs of London. The aim of the London ISTV Anonymised ED Data Sharing Programme is to improve public safety in London and to reduce violent crime by bringing together an innovative data sharing and analysis methodology between health services, police and community safety partners, with an extension of interventions and support within the Major Trauma Centres (MTCs) for young people who are affected by violent crime. Bringing these work strands together will provide the means to adapt rather than replicate the Cardiff model to meet the challenges presented by a complex urban city, whilst also focussing specific efforts at those most at risk of violence through the MTCs.

As part of this work MOPAC will also be hosting a series of training and engagement events for London hospital trust and other partners to build their understanding, capacity and support for improved data sharing. A number of training events have already taken place and were well attended and the first of the planned summits and conferences will take place in the New Year, where I am sure we will gain further support and commitment from the strategic and operational leaders to ensure progress is sustained and integrated into business as usual.

I hope this update has been helpful.

Yours sincerely,



Stephen Greenhalgh  
**Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime**